records, so sacred, so great, so important
to join with the testimony of the Jewish
record that the nations of the last days
might have the testimony of two hemi-
spheres that God is the same God, that
his doctrines are everlasting, the same
unchangeable Gospel and plan of salva-
tion, and that his people Israel were as
precious to him on the western hemi-
sphere as they were on the eastern, and
that the great atonement which we are
now celebrating in this house, should not
be shut out from the minds of the people
in the western hemisphere? Is it consis-
tent that this should be the case? There
is not a man living, who will free himself
from the traditions of false doctrines that
have prevailed for many generations, but
what will say it is godlike, it is consistent
with the character of the Almighty to re-
veal himself to the western hemisphere
as well as to the great eastern hemi-
sphere, and if he did this would there be
anything inconsistent that these records
should be brought to light in the last
days? Is God limited in his power? I
appeal to the whole of Christendom, do
we as Christians believe in the Lord Je-
sus Christ and in his Father, as being
limited in their power, and that people
should be left without divine knowledge,
without information from heaven, when it
is so easy for them to reveal? Is
not the knowledge of God to cover the
dearth, according to the prediction of Isa-
iah the prophet, as the waters cover the
great deep, before the end shall come?
Are not many, in the last days, to run
to and fro, and knowledge be increased,
and when I speak of knowledge I mean
that knowledge which is of God, the
knowledge revealed from heaven, con-
cerning the great plan of salvation. It
is reasonable, it is consistent, it is in
accordance with the Jewish Bible, that
God should reveal himself and the plan
of salvation to the people of the lat-
ter days, that the knowledge of God
may truly cover the earth as the wa-
ters cover the great deep. In revealing
this additional knowledge, will it do any
harm? Is there any church on the face
of the whole earth that is in the least
degree harmed by the additional reve-
lations sent from heaven? I think not.
What harm is there in the Lord's mak-
ing manifest to the people in this west-
ern hemisphere, that the same Gospel
was preached to the inhabitants of this
land as was preached to the Jews and
the people of the eastern continent in an-
cient days? Who is harmed among all the
religious denominations of Christendom,
the four hundred millions of Christians,
so called, by the addition of further reve-
lation? Did it harm any of the branches
of the church that were anciently Chris-
tian, after they had the Book of Matthew
revealed to them, to be permitted to
have a testimony from another inspired
man, called the Book of Mark? I think
there was no harm in Mark's writing his
Gospel, after Matthew had written his.
It did no harm to the ancient Christians
that Luke should write his testimony
of the Gospel; that John should write his,
that John should be permitted to receive
great prophecy and revelation on the isle
of Patmos. Did that close revelation from
God? No, because we find that the Lord
inspired John to write his testimony
of the Gospel, showing that the canon
of Scripture was not closed up when
John left Patmos. What harm is there
for another nation to know about the
Prophet Moses, the Lord Jesus Christ,
and the atonement that he made by his
own suffering and death? Would it not